

# 2008 GENERAL SESSION FISCAL NOTE WORKSHEET XI (Revised Jan. 2008)

Agency: Utah State Office of Education Bill Number S.B. 118

TITLE OF BILL: Education Transportation Amendments by Sen. Darin G. Peterson

Requested by: Ben Leishman

Fax/Electronic Mail Transmittal To:

Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst  
W310 State Capitol Complex  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-5310  
538-1034 / Fax 538-1692

|             |  |
|-------------|--|
| Name:       |  |
| Date:       |  |
| Fax Number: |  |

Please return to Fiscal Analyst by: January 14, 2008

This Bill Takes Effect: ☐ On passage ☐ On July 1 ☒ 60 Days after session ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

Bill Carries Own Appropriation: ☐

## FISCAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

|   | FY 2008 Supp. | FY 2009 | FY 2010 |
|---|---------------|---------|---------|
| <b>A. REVENUE IMPACT BY SOURCE OF FUNDS</b> |               |         |         |
| 1. General Fund                             |               |         |         |
| 2. Uniform School Fund - Education Fund     |               |         |         |
| 3. Transportation Fund                      |               |         |         |
| 4. Collections                              |               |         |         |
| 5. Other Funds (List Below)                 |               |         |         |
|   |               |         |         |
|   |               |         |         |
| 6 Local Funds                               |               |         |         |
| <b>7. TOTAL</b>                             | \$ -          | \$ -    | \$ -    |

## B. EXPENDITURE IMPACT:

|   |      |      |      |
|---|------|------|------|
| <b>By Source of Funds</b>               |      |      |      |
| 1. General Fund                         |      |      |      |
| 2. General Fund, One Time               |      |      |      |
| 3. Uniform School Fund - Education Fund |      |      |      |
| 3. Transportation Fund                  |      |      |      |
| 4. Collections                          |      |      |      |
| 5. Other Funds (List Below)             |      |      |      |
|   |      |      |      |
|   |      |      |      |
| 6. Local Funds                          |      |      |      |
| <b>7. TOTAL</b>                         | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| <b>By Expenditure Category</b>          |      |      |      |
| 1. Salaries, Wages and Benefits         |      |      |      |
| 2. Travel                               |      |      |      |
| 3. Current Expenses                     |      |      |      |
| 4. D.P. Current Expenses                |      |      |      |
| 5. Capital Outlay                       |      |      |      |
| 6. D.P. Capital Outlay                  |      |      |      |
| 7. Other (Specify)                      |      |      |      |
| <b>8. TOTAL</b>                         | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |

## C. IMPACT IN FUTURE YEARS?

*If no fiscal impact in the first two years, indicate any impact in future years, and explain. Also, indicate any significant changes in fiscal impact beyond the first two years. (Use back side, or attachment, if necessary.)*

Murrell Martin Pupil Trans. Specialist USOE 538-7666 1/14/2008  
Prepared By Title Agency Phone # Date

**D. Identify Sections of the Bill That Will Generate the Additional Workload or Cost Increase****E. Expenditure Impact Details (Ties to totals in Section C)**

List and document methodology and/or assumptions used in determining need for workload and cost increase.  
List number, type, and step ranges of personnel required, including benefits.  
List details of other impacted expenditure categories as shown in Section C.  
List additional space requirements and cost associated with requirements of this bill.  
(USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)

**F. No Fiscal Impact or Will Not Require Additional Appropriations?**

Specify why this bill will have no fiscal impact on your agency or institution.  
Specify how you will reallocate workloads, resources, or funding sources to eliminate need for additional appropriations.  
(USE ATTACHMENTS IF NECESSARY.)  
*This bill will not have fiscal impact to USOE. However, it is important to note that for FY08, with the one-time funding of \$8,000,000 included, and USDB amounts taken out, the appropriated funding was equal to 82% of approved pupil transportation costs for the forty school districts. An additional \$2,534,089 would have been needed in FY08 to bring the funding of approved pupil transportation to 85% for the forty school districts.*

**G. If Bill Carries Its Own Appropriation:**

Indicate if the amount appropriated is adequate to meet the purposes of the bill.  
Are there future additional costs anticipated beyond the appropriation in the bill?

**H. Impact on Local Governments, Businesses, Associations, and Individuals**

Specify requirements in the bill that drive the impact on local governments.  
Indicate costs or savings that are **DIRECT and MEASURABLE**. If direct and measurable data are not available, are there areas that potentially could have a fiscal impact? (USE ATTACHMENT IF NECESSARY.)

Local Governments:

Businesses and Associations:

Individuals:

Narrative Description of Bill: This bill requires school districts and the USOE to use audited financial and statistical reports for reporting and allocating pupil transportation funds. This bill provides a minimum allocation to assist smaller districts with efficiency of scale. This bill establishes a funding target of 85%, and creates an efficiency incentive by requiring districts to fund the balance.

This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future.

This fiscal note input draft does not imply endorsement of this bill by the State Board of Education or USOE.